The Effects of Endogenous Protection on the Economic Landscape

María Cecilia Gáname

Universiteit Antwerpen Universidad Nacional de Córdoba

October 2004



The author studies the impact of political economy variables on the spatial distribution of industry building a model that relates two backgrounds.



Short run: Political game Capital factor is immobile Capital owners engage in Lobbying activity Long run

Capital factor can move Spatial distribution of economic activity Two regions: the small economy and the ROW (*)
Two productive factors: Labour and Capital

Two sectors:

The agricultural:
 CRS
 Perfect competition
 Labour
 Freely traded

>The industrial:

IRS Monopolistic competition Labour and Capital Costly traded Preferences: Quasilinear utility function

$$U = c_{A} + \mu Ln \left(nc \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma} + n^{*} \overline{c}^{*} \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1}}$$

numeraire Local Foreign variety variety

★The Iceberg trade cost:
★Domestic trade cost: $\tau = 1 + t$ Endogenously determined
Tariff income $\overline{p} = \tau \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} a_m^*$

The Framework: Incentives

•Tariff income

Individuals

•Wages •Profits

Lobby

It chooses the optimal level of contribution maximising $Y_g = W_g - C_g$

where the gross welfare is:

$$W_g = l_g + \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i [p, P(\tau)] + \alpha_g [R(\tau) + S(P(\tau))]$$

Fraction of the voting population that owns capital and belongs to the lobby The Government:

$$G = C_g + aW(p, P(\tau))$$

The weight that the incumbent attaches to the society's welfare

The short run equilibrium



They induce the Government to maximise: $aW + W_g$

Short run equilibrium

>The short run expression:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\phi + \phi^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} + s_n \left(\phi - \phi^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}} + \phi^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \sigma \right) \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{(1+a)}{(\alpha_g + a)} \underbrace{\frac{(\sigma-1)}{\sigma}}_{\text{Industry}} s_n \overset{\text{Industry}}{\underset{\text{shars}}{\text{shars}}_n}$$
Level of freeness $\phi = \tau^{1-\sigma}$
Political variables

>The equilibrium contribution: the lobby contributes an amount that is proportional to the excess burden that its most preferred equilibrium tariff imposes on the society. A Government that has a remarkable concern about the general welfare will avoid creating an excess burden.
As α_g increases, also φ increases
The deadweight loss faced by the lobby increases

✤ At a lower mark up, the lobby is more worried about persuading the government to set a tariff.

The government follows the Ramsey rule.

The initial spatial distribution of firms may also affect the level of protection: ambiguity

The Long run equilibrium

Now —— Capital can flow

The Long run equilibrium occurs when movements of capital stop.

The equilibrium division of industry:

 $s_{n} = -\frac{\phi}{(1-\phi)} + \frac{(1-\phi\phi^{*})s_{\mu}}{(1-\phi)(1-\phi^{*})}$ The spatial distribution of expenditure: small economy assumption
The Home Market effect
The market access advantage The market crowding disadvantage $HME = f(\phi(a, \alpha_{g}, \sigma, \overline{s}_{n}))$

The Long run equilibrium: PredictionsAsymmetric trade costsImage: Image of the second structureImage of the second stru

Capital moves from the region with high level of freeness to one with high level of protection

$$\frac{\partial s_n}{\partial \phi} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial s_n}{\partial \phi^*} > 0$$

- * A Government scarcely weights the general welfare.
- Owners of capital are few in number.
- ✤ The mark up of the firm is low.

Concluding remarks

The model

Determinants of trade policy and the spatial distribution of economic activity

New insights

✤ A low mark up leads the lobby to persuade the government to set a tariff.

The initial distribution of industry may matter.

*Trade policy as a channel: *capital owners might make capital flow to look for protection*

→ Welfare effects ?

Political variables may act as a dispersion force.