Industry Diversification, Financial Development and Growth with Productivity-Enhancing Investment

Alfredo Schclarek

www.nek.lu.se/nekasc

UNC, Argentina April 2007

Introduction

Industry (sector) diversification

Financial development

Less volatility

Economic growth

Related literature

- Aghion, Howitt and Mayer-Foulkes (2005) "The effect of financial development on convergence: theory and evidence" QJE
 - High level financial development: growth rate converges world technological frontier
- Aghion, Angeletos, Banerjee and Manova (2005) "Volatility and growth: credit constraints and productivityenhancing investment" NBER
 - High level financial development: less aggregate volatility and higher growth rate

Problem: exogenous financial development

- Holmström and Tirole (1998) "Private and public supply of liquidity" JPE
- Dynamic moral hazard overlapping generations model
- Three agents: firms (or entrepreneurs), investors (or consumers) and an intermediary (or bank)
- Two periods: first period (initial investment); end of first period (liquidity shock); end of second period (outcome)
- Each firm belongs to an industry (or sector) *j*

- All firms in industry *j* hit by same liquidity shock c_j (or adjustment cost)
- J liquidity shocks in the economy
- One good for consumption and investment
- Risk-neutral agents with U(C)=C0+C1+C2



• "cutoff" threshold liquidity shock: $c < c^*$

- <u>Vertical innovation</u>: improve quality existing products Economic growth: $\Delta T_t = \int_t v I T_t \ell_t^i$
- <u>Horizontal innovation</u>: new products industry diversification Industry growth: $\Delta J_t = \int_{i}^{t} hI J_t \ell_t^i$

Intermediation



- Shares to investors:
 - initial investment
 - liquidity shock
- Securities from firms

Intermediation

• Value external claims firms:

$$V_1 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J L_j(c^*)}{J} c_p I$$

• Aggregate demand for liquidity:

$$\bar{D} = (\frac{c_1 L_1(c^*) + \dots + c_J L_J(c^*)}{J})I$$

Intermediation

• Value investment portfolio:

$$S_1 \equiv V_1 - \bar{D}$$

- S₁≥0: enough aggregate liquidity for all projects
- S₁<0: aggregate liquidity NOT enough for all projects

Partial liquidation (industry-level)

Partial liquidation

• Fraction of firms continuing at end first period:



Probability density function of S₁

 $E(S_1|M) = I - A > 0$



PDF of S₁ depends on nr. industries J $Var(S_1|M) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } J \rightarrow \infty.$

Probability density function of S₁



• $J \to \infty$: PDF collapses to I-A • $J \to \infty$: $Prob(S_1 < 0|M)$ smaller

Partial liquidation

• Expected fraction of firms continuing:

$$E(\delta|N) = Prob(P_j(S_1) = 1|N),$$

• Negative relationship with $Prob(S_1 < 0|M)$

 $E(\delta|N)$ increasing function of nr. industries J

Diversification and Growth

• The growth rate of the economy is (due to vertical innovation):

Diversification and Growth



Diversification and Growth

• The growth rate of nr. industries J (due to horizontal innovation):



Externality and horizontal innovation



Horizontal innovation has externalities

 Higher horizontal innovation leads to higher vertical innovation indirectly through its effect on $E(\delta|N)$ (higher financial development)

Government subsidies

- If not enough aggregate liquidity, government subsidy :
 - to vertical innovation higher growth
 - to horizontal innovation more diversification, deeper financial system
- Low financial development <u>govt</u>. subsidy to horizontal innovation
- Government: future tax revenues as collateral

Conclusion

- Higher diversification:
 - higher growth
 - more diversification, deeper financial system
- Horizontal innovation: -externality
 -growth (indirectly)
- Government subsidy good for growth (especially horizontal innovation)