Joint liquidity and capital regulation with a lender of last resort

Demian Macedo and Sergio Vicente Universitat de les Illes Balears and Universidad Carlos III de Madrid Presentation at Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. December 14, 2018

Liquidity in the regulatory focus

- Before the 2007-2008 crisis, regulatory focus was mainly on insolvency risk
 - Basel II: capital requirements
- But the early **liquidity phase** of the financial crisis disclosed severe weaknesses on liquidity holdings (e.g. Northern Rock)
- Regulators turned their attention to illiquidity risk
 - Basel III: Liquidity Coverage Ratio and Net Stable Funding Ratio (liquidity requirements)
- But it is unclear which effects **liquidity requirements** will have and whether and how they should **relate to capital requirements**
 - Basel III liquidity and capital regulation have followed a "silo approach" (independent committees with different objectives)

• QUESTION: What is the **optimal joint capital-liquidity regulation**?

- QUESTION: What is the **optimal joint capital-liquidity regulation**?
 - **Capital requirements** typically set to reduce insolvency risk: success of loans (e.g., Furlong and Keeley (JBF'89); Rochet (EER'92) Hellman, Murdock and Stiglitz (AER'00); Repullo (JFI'04))

- QUESTION: What is the **optimal joint capital-liquidity regulation**?
 - Capital requirements typically set to reduce insolvency risk: success of loans (e.g., Furlong and Keeley (JBF'89); Rochet (EER'92) Hellman, Murdock and Stiglitz (AER'00); Repullo (JFI'04))
 - Liquidity requirements typically set to reduce illiquidity risk: Allen and Gale (JPE'00), (Farhi, Golosov and Tsyvinski (REStud'09), Perotti and Suarez (IJCB'11); Freixas, Martin and Skeie (RFS'11); Calomiris, Heider and Hoerova (WP'15))

• Optimal joint requirements:

- Optimal joint requirements:
 - We show that the effectiveness of one policy tool depends on the amount of the other: **positive** or **negative feedback loop** between capital and liquidity

- Optimal joint requirements:
 - We show that the effectiveness of one policy tool depends on the amount of the other: **positive** or **negative feedback loop** between capital and liquidity
 - We characterize the optimal joint regulation of liquidity and capital taking feedback loops into consideration

Related literature: liquidity and capital regulation

- Vives (RFS'14): Insolvency risk (and degree of transparency) affects illiquidity risk through a strategic complementarity to run on the bank's debt
 - Capital requirements and disclosure rules should be jointly determined with liquidity requirements (Focus on **roll-over debt**)
- Walther (JMCB'16): Optimal regulation to reduce a fire-sales pecuniary externality that banks do not internalize
- De Nicolò, Gamba and Luchetta (RFS'14): Numerical illustration of effects of capital and liquidity requirements (the latter always welfare reducing!)
- **Our paper**: Liquidity shocks exogenous, no fire-sales externalities, close-form solutions, focus on **bank's risk-shifting**

Model building blocks

- **Insolvency risk**: Standard risk-return trade off (increasing return requires increasing risk) à *la* Dell'Ariccia and Marquez (JFE'06) and Allen, Carletti and Marquez (RFS'11)
- **Illiquidity risk**: Early deposit withdrawals à *la* Diamond and Dybvig (JPE'83) –we add aggregate uncertainty in deposit withdrawals
- Deposit insurance
- Limited liability for banks
- Costly capital

Role of capital and liquidity requirements in this paper

• What is the market failure? Why regulate?

- What is the market failure? Why regulate?
 - Limited liability and deposit insurance: **bank does not internalize** the harm on deposit insurance scheme if it fails (downside <u>not</u> into account)

- What is the market failure? Why regulate?
 - Limited liability and deposit insurance: **bank does not internalize** the harm on deposit insurance scheme if it fails (downside <u>not</u> into account)
- Regulator maximizes social welfare (downside into account)

- What is the market failure? Why regulate?
 - Limited liability and deposit insurance: **bank does not internalize** the harm on deposit insurance scheme if it fails (downside <u>not</u> into account)
- Regulator maximizes social welfare (downside into account)
- Regulator can use two policy tools: liquidity and capital requirements

- What is the market failure? Why regulate?
 - Limited liability and deposit insurance: **bank does not internalize** the harm on deposit insurance scheme if it fails (downside <u>not</u> into account)
- Regulator maximizes social welfare (downside into account)
- Regulator can use two policy tools: liquidity and capital requirements
 - Capital requirements reduce insolvency risk ('skin in the game')

- What is the market failure? Why regulate?
 - Limited liability and deposit insurance: **bank does not internalize** the harm on deposit insurance scheme if it fails (downside <u>not</u> into account)
- Regulator maximizes social welfare (downside into account)
- Regulator can use two policy tools: liquidity and capital requirements
 - Capital requirements reduce insolvency risk ('skin in the game')
 - Liquidity requirements reduce illiquidity risk (higher buffer to cope with early withdrawals)

• Liquidity affects the effectiveness of capital requirements:

- Liquidity affects the effectiveness of capital requirements:
 - Reduces illiquidity risk: higher return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity complements capital)

- Liquidity affects the effectiveness of capital requirements:
 - Reduces illiquidity risk: higher return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity complements capital)
 - <u>Reduces investment in loans</u>: lower return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity offsets capital)

- Liquidity affects the effectiveness of capital requirements:
 - Reduces illiquidity risk: higher return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity complements capital)
 - <u>Reduces investment in loans</u>: lower return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity offsets capital)
- Capital affects the effectiveness of liquidity requirements:

- Liquidity affects the effectiveness of capital requirements:
 - Reduces illiquidity risk: higher return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity complements capital)
 - <u>Reduces investment in loans</u>: lower return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity offsets capital)
- Capital affects the effectiveness of liquidity requirements:
 - Reduces negative effect of liquidity on insolvency risk (liquidity reduces bank's payoff, capital compensates for that): higher value of liquidity (capital complements liquidity)

- Liquidity affects the effectiveness of capital requirements:
 - Reduces illiquidity risk: higher return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity complements capital)
 - <u>Reduces investment in loans</u>: lower return of reducing insolvency risk (liquidity offsets capital)
- Capital affects the effectiveness of liquidity requirements:
 - Reduces negative effect of liquidity on insolvency risk (liquidity reduces bank's payoff, capital compensates for that): higher value of liquidity (capital complements liquidity)
 - <u>Reduces insolvency risk</u>: higher opportunity cost of liquidity (capital **offsets liquidity**)

• Two regions:

- Two regions:
 - Complementary policy tools (when reinforcing effects into place)

- Two regions:
 - Complementary policy tools (when reinforcing effects into place)
 - Offsetting policy tools (when counteracting effects into place)

- Two regions:
 - Complementary policy tools (when reinforcing effects into place)
 - Offsetting policy tools (when counteracting effects into place)
- Equilibrium capital-liquidity requirements: complementary or offsetting depending on opportunity cost of liquidity and capital

- Two regions:
 - Complementary policy tools (when reinforcing effects into place)
 - Offsetting policy tools (when counteracting effects into place)
- Equilibrium capital-liquidity requirements: complementary or offsetting depending on opportunity cost of liquidity and capital
- A Lender of Last Resort partially substitutes liquidity, making capital and liquidity offsetting tools

Model

- Four period economy: $t = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$
- Risk-neutral agents
- No discount factor
- Single bank



Figure: Timeline of the model

 At t = -1 the regulator establishes a liquidity l* and a capital k* requirement (only verifiable variables)

Bank's choices (t=0)



Figure: Timeline of the model

At t = 0 the bank chooses:

- Capital structure: capital, long term deposits and common deposits
- Assets: liquid assets and loans
- Insolvency risk

Bank's capital structure

- Normalized size to 1
- (Equity) capital: k
 - Capital requirements: $k \ge k^*$
- Insured deposits: $1-{\sf k}$
 - Common deposits: d
 - Subject to early withdrawals
 - Interest rate: 1
 - Long-term deposits: $b\equiv 1-d-k$
 - Not withdrawable until maturity
 - Interest rate: $1 + r \ge 1$
- Assumption costly capital: $\rho > r$

- Keep $l \ge l^*$ of liquid assets (cash)
- Invest 1 I in loans

- Bank chooses probability of success θ at cost $\frac{c}{2} \cdot \theta^2$
 - Insolvency risk: 1θ

Liquidity phase (t=1)



Figure: Timeline of the model

At t = 1, the bank faces an amount β of early withdrawals, where

β ~ F [0, d], F log-concave (e.g. uniform, beta distribution, power,...) and absolutely continuous, f positive in interior of support

Liquidity phase (t=1)



Figure: Timeline of the model

At t = 1, the bank faces an amount β of early withdrawals, where

- β ~ F [0, d], F log-concave (e.g. uniform, beta distribution, power,...) and absolutely continuous, f positive in interior of support
 - Illiquidity risk: 1 F(I)
Loan maturity phase (t=2)



Figure: Timeline of the model

- Loan matures:
 - If successful: M
 - If failure: 0

Bank's choice of insolvency risk

Outline

Model

• Bank's choice of insolvency risk

- Effect of liquidity requirements on insolvency risk
- Effect of capital requirements on insolvency risk
- Regulation
 - Regulator's problem
 - Optimal requirements
 - Optimal capital with predetermined liquidity
 - Optimal liquidity with predetermined capital
 - Joint optimal capital and liquidity requirements
 - Capital and liquidity: complementary or offsetting?

• Given liquidity I and capital k, the bank chooses θ to solve:

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} & \Pi_B\left(\theta, I, k\right) \\ \\ s.t. & \Pi_B\left(\theta, I, k\right) \geq 0 \end{array} \right\},$$

Bank's objective function

$$\Pi_{B}(\theta, l, k) \equiv \int_{0}^{l} \theta \cdot \left[\underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{Upside \ payoff: \ \pi(l,k)}}_{Loan \ Value \ Cash} - \underbrace{\underbrace{(1-k-\beta+b\cdot r)}_{Deposit \ Liabilities}}_{Assets} \right] \cdot f(\beta) \ d\beta$$

$$- \underbrace{\frac{c}{2} \cdot \theta^{2}}_{Cost \ reducing \ insolvency \ risk} - \underbrace{(1+\rho) \cdot k}_{Cost \ of \ Equity}$$

$$\Pi_{B}(\theta, l, k) = \underbrace{\theta \cdot F(l)}_{\text{probability success } Upside payoff} \cdot \underbrace{\pi(l, k)}_{\text{Upside payoff}} - \frac{c}{2} \cdot \theta^{2} - (1 + \rho) \cdot k$$

Bank's upside payoff: effect of requirements

• Bank's upside payoff **decreasing in liquidity** (opportunity cost of liquidity):

$$\pi\left(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{k}\right) = \underbrace{\left[\mathbf{M}\cdot\left(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{I}\right)+\mathbf{I}\right]}_{\mathbf{Assets}} - \left(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{r}\right)$$

Bank's upside payoff: effect of requirements

Bank's upside payoff decreasing in liquidity (opportunity cost of liquidity):

$$\pi\left(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{k}\right) = \underbrace{\left[\mathbf{M}\cdot\left(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{I}\right)+\mathbf{I}\right]}_{Assets} - \left(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{r}\right)$$

 Bank's upside payoff increasing in capital (reduction of deposit liabilities-skin in the game):

$$\pi (I, k) = [M \cdot (1 - I) + I] - \underbrace{(1 - \mathbf{k} + b \cdot r)}_{\text{Liabilities}}$$

• Bank's problem, given (*I*, *k*):

$$\theta_{B}\left(l,k\right) \equiv \arg\max_{\theta} \Pi_{B}\left(\theta,l,k\right)$$

$$\theta_{B}(l,k) = \frac{1}{c} \cdot \underbrace{F(l) \cdot \pi(l,k)}_{\text{Expected upside payoff}}$$

Effect of regulation on insolvency risk

Proposition (Effect of liquidity requirements on insolvency risk)

• Liquidity requirements increase insolvency risk

• Bank's profit-maximizing liquidity level is given:

$$I_{B}(k) \equiv \arg\max_{l} \Pi_{B}(\theta, l, k)$$

• Trades-off probability of surviving and upside payoff:

$$I_{B}(k) = \arg \max_{l} \underbrace{F(l) \cdot \pi(l, k)}_{hump-shaped in liquidity}$$

Bank's profit-maximizing liquidity



Bank's profit-maximizing liquidity and insolvency risk



Binding liquidity requirements



Binding requirements increase insolvency risk



Proposition (Effect of capital requirements on insolvency risk)

• Capital requirements reduce insolvency risk

Proposition (Effect of capital requirements on insolvency risk)

- Capital requirements reduce insolvency risk
- Capital mitigates the negative effect of liquidity requirements on insolvency risk

Capital reduces insolvency risk for each liquidity level and reduces negative effect of liquidity on insolvency risk



Regulation

$$\begin{array}{c} \max_{l \in [0,d], k \in [0,1-d]} & \Pi_{R}(l,k) \\ s.t. & \Pi_{B}(\theta_{B}(l,k), l,k) \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Pi_{R}(I,k) \equiv \Pi_{B}(\theta_{B}, I, k) - \underbrace{(1 - \theta_{B}(I, k) \cdot F(I))}_{\text{Pr. Failure}} \cdot \underbrace{D(I, k)}_{\text{Bank's net liabilities'}}$$

where

$$D(l,k) \equiv \underbrace{(1-\mathbf{k}+b\cdot r)}_{\text{Bank's Gross Liabilities}} -\mathbf{I}$$

- D(I, k) decreasing in **liquidity** *I* (reduces liabilities)
- D(I, k) decreasing in **capital** k (diminishes the amount of deposits)

- 1. Find optimal **capital response** $k_{R}(I)$ for any given level of liquidity
- 2. Find optimal liquidity response $I_R(k)$ for any given level of capital
- 3. Optimal liquidity and capital requirements: (l^*, k^*) such that $l^* = l_R(k^*)$ and $k^* = k_R(l^*)$

Capital response hat-shaped



Liquidity response hump-shaped



Liquidity response hump-shaped



Capital and liquidity: complementary or offsetting?

Complementary and offsetting regions



Proposition (Complementary or offsetting)

There exists a threshold \overline{M} , and, for each $M < \overline{M}$, there does also exist a threshold $\hat{\rho}(M)$ such that:

- Liquidity and capital are **offsetting** tools in equilibrium if $M < \overline{M}$ and $\rho < \hat{\rho}(M)$

Equilibrium with $\rho_1 > \hat{\rho}(M)$ and $M_1 < \overline{M}$: Complementary



Complementarity region: the higher ρ , the lower l^*



The effect of level of ρ on the optimal regulatory policy



Regulation with a Lender of Last Resort

- LoLR observes whether bank is solvent (As in Rochet and Vives, 2004)
- LoLR lends to a solvent bank
- LoLR lends at rate γ , which is the opportunity cost of its founds.
- LoLR only lends if bank can return funds

•
$$\beta < \overline{\beta}(I,k) = I + \frac{M(1-I) - D(I,k)}{\gamma - 1}$$

• **Remark:** $\overline{\beta}(I, k)$ increasing in k (k reduces illiquidity risk)

- Higher capital requirements: $k^{LoLR} > k^*$
- More solvent (for given liquidity and capital): $\theta_B^{LoLR}(l,k) > \theta_B(l,k)$
- Lower liquidity requirements: $I^{LoLR} < I^*$
- For $\gamma \leq \overline{\gamma}$, where $g(\overline{\beta}(0,0))\left(1-\frac{M-1}{\gamma-1}\right) = \frac{1}{2D(0,0)}$, capital and liquidity are offsetting tools

Liquidity and capital offsetting tools with a LoLR


Liquidity and capital offsetting tools with a LoLR



Liquidity and capital offsetting tools with a LoLR



Concluding Remarks

Conclusion

- How should capital and liquidity be jointly regulated?
 - $\bullet~$ We address this question with a focus on bank's ~ risk-taking channel
- We identify a **feed-back loop between capital and liquidity**: the effectiveness of either instrument depends on the level of the other instrument
 - Liquidity and capital **complement** each other when both requirements are "**low**"
 - Liquidity and capital offset each other when both requirements are "high" and in the presence of a Lender of Last Resort
- Capital and liquidity should be regulated jointly taking into account these feedback loops
 - Equilibrium regulation depends on shadow cost of both policy tools and availability of liquidity from other sources (LoLR, interbank, liquidation,...)
 - Capital and liquidity requirements should be set jointly